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Policy Crossroads for Fuels

- Can we develop an effective, durable policy framework to ensure the transportation fuel supply system can effect a smooth, orderly transition from conventional oil to alternative fuels that will allow the US to meet its energy security and climate change goals?
Overall Summary of US Alternative Fuel Policy Experience...

• The bad news is that...

  – 20 years of US alternative fuel policy, have resulted in less than 3% displacement of oil used in the motor vehicle sector.

### Alternative Fuel Consumption, 2006 (Source: EIA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel Type</th>
<th>million gasoline-equivalent gallons</th>
<th>percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline + Diesel</td>
<td>184,393</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol in gasoline</td>
<td>3,729</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biodiesel</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressed Natural Gas</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquefied Natural Gas</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Alternative Fuels</td>
<td>4,837</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overall Summary of US Alternative Fuel Policy Experience…

• The good news is that…
  – Policies have pushed the oil and auto industries to make cleaner gasoline and diesel fuels, and cleaner cars and trucks.
  – Stimulated investments and innovation in clean fuel technologies.

• Is this time around different? Key factors that contributed to past “failures” are changing:
  – Record high fuel prices
  – Peaking of “conventional” oil especially in non-OPEC countries
  – Growing likelihood of mandatory controls on emissions contributing to global warming
Key Alternative Fuel Policies

• Alternative Motor Fuels Act of 1988 (AMFA)
  – CAFE credits for manufacturing AFVs (fuel flexible vehicles)

• Clean Air Act of 1990 (CAA)
  – Mandated oxygenate usage in gasoline (MTBE, ethanol)

  – Alternative fuel-capable vehicle purchase requirements for fleets

• California Zero-Emission Vehicle Mandate (1990)
  – Production mandate for super clean vehicles

• Renewable Fuel Standard (EPAct 2005 and EISA of 2007)
  – Replaced oxygenate mandate with ethanol volumetric requirement
Flex Fuel Vehicle Credits (AMFA)

- AMFA FFV credits help increase the number of alternative fuel vehicles on the road, but failed to ensure they run on E85. (RFS may help remedy)

Of over 6 million FFVs on road, only roughly 300K (5%) actually use ethanol in the form of E85 (85% ethanol, 15% gasoline)
Low Blend Strategy (Ethanol)

- CAA oxygenate blending requirement (and later the RFS) has been the most “successful” (in terms of oil displacement) strategy.
- While ethanol currently accounts for about 75% of alternative fuel use, it is still less than 3% of total motor vehicle fuel supply.
Fleet Purchase (EPAct 1992)

- No evidence that alternative fuel use in niche markets leads to expanded mainstream markets.
- Fleets are a bad place to try uneconomic fuels. *Achieving low operating costs is a key goal for fleets, unlike many individual consumers.*

Existing Fuels Got Much Better

- Gasoline and diesel were able to compete far better than anticipated on reducing criteria air pollutants
  - California’s efforts to transition to methanol (M85) in late 80’s resulted in ARCO’s developing reformulated gasoline
  - California’s ZEV program resulted in super clean gasoline cars (PZEVs and conventional hybrids)
  - Fleet mandates for CNG buses and trucks have been slowed by “clean diesel” trucks and hybrid buses
Climate Policy can do Double Duty

• But promoting domestic petroleum and high carbon fuels will make meeting climate objections much harder.

![Graph showing lifecycle GHG emissions] (Source: A.E. Farrell, Energy & Resources Group, UC Berkeley)
Key Existing Policies

- **Federal Renewable Fuel Standard ("RFS 2" of EISA07)**
  - Mandates 36 billion gallons of renewable fuels by 2022
  - However, greenhouse gas benefits are modest due to grandfathering and does not promote other low carbon fuels, like electricity for plug-in hybrids

- **California Low Carbon Fuel Standard**
  - Performance-based, fuel neutral GHG standards on gasoline and diesel fuels. Will be adopted by CARB in April 2009.

- **California Zero Emission Vehicle Program**
  - Requires about 58,000 Plug in Vehicles in the 2012-14 timeframe in CA, 3 times since 13 other states currently have California’s program
Key Design Elements, Transportation Climate Architecture

Transportation Fuels Included in the Cap
Fuel provider required to submit allowances for fossil-fuel end use

Direct Measures & Standards
Vehicle emission and/or efficiency standards
Low-Carbon Fuel Standard
Smart Growth policies

Performance-based Incentives
Retooling funds for auto factories, cellulosic ethanol, smart growth projects
Emerging Consensus for Fuels Policy Framework? USCAP Blueprint

- Inclusion of fossil-based transportation fuels in an economy-wide cap-and-trade system in combination with environmentally effective and cost-effective complementary measures for all of the major components of the transportation system.
- EPA development of a GHG Performance Standard for Transportation Fuels to replace RFS
- Federal support for pre-commercial, early commercial and higher-risk phases of technology research and development for technologies that represent “breakthrough innovations” including advanced low-carbon fuels and the vehicle

3 Auto: GM, Ford, Chrysler
3 Oil: BP, ConocoPhillips, Shell
5 NGOs: NRDC, EDF, Pew, TNC, WRI
2 Chemical Companies: DuPont and Dow
18 Other members
http://www.us-cap.org/
Recommendations

• Need comprehensive approach combining binding targets, standards on vehicles and fuels, and incentives.
• Standards should, to the greatest extent possible, be fuel-neutral, performance-based (i.e., Low Carbon Fuel Standard)
• Incentives should be provided in a performance-based manner to a portfolio of the most promising fuels and technologies.
• Targeted policies (such as production mandates), for truly advanced, ultra clean technologies, may be necessary in order to ensure certainty for investors in risky, but extremely promising technologies.